

Comp151

Generic Programming:  
Container Classes

# Container Classes

- Container classes are a typical use for class templates, since we need container classes for objects of many different types, and the types are not known when the container class is designed.
- Let's design a container that looks like an array, but that is a first-class type: so that assignment and call-by-value is possible.
- We want the container to be *homogeneous*: all the elements must have the same type.
- But should a container with 10 `int` elements be the same type as a container with 20 `int` elements?

Both choices are sensible design decisions.

Remark: The `vector` type in STL is better than the classes we'll write in this lecture, so this is just for understanding. We are doing this to illustrate how C++'s actual `vector`, `list`, etc. can be implemented.

# Example: Container Class – bunch.h

```
template<typename T, int N>
class Bunch {
private:
    T _value[N];
public:
    Bunch();
    Bunch(const Bunch &B);
    ~Bunch();

    int size() const { return N; }
    T& operator[ ](int i) { return _value[i]; }
    T& operator=(const Bunch &B);
};
```

# Example: Use of Class Bunch

```
Bunch<int, 10> A;  
cout << A[3];  
A[7] = 13;  
A[2]++;
```

```
Bunch<string, 50> B;  
B[49] = "Hello world";
```

```
Bunch<string, 50> C;  
C = B;  
Bunch<int, 20> D;  
D = A;
```

*// Legal*

*// Error: D and A are of different types*

# A More Flexible Container Class – array.h

```
#ifndef ARRAY_H
#define ARRAY_H

template<typename T>
class Array {
private:
    T* _value;
    int _size;
public:
    Array(int n = 10);           // Default/conversion constructor
    Array(const Array& A);      // Copy constructor
    ~Array();

    int size() const { return _size; }
    Array<T>& operator=(const Array<T>& A); // Assignment operator
    T& operator[](int i) { return _value[i]; }; // Access to an element
    const T& operator[ ](int i) const { return _value[i]; }; // Const access to an element
};

#endif
```

# Example: Use of Class Array

```
#include <iostream>
#include "array.h"
using namespace std;
int main()
{
    Array<int> a;
    cout << a.size() << endl;
    a[9] = 17;           // Ok: uses non-const version of operator[ ]
    ++a[2];            // Ok: uses non-const version of operator[ ]
    cout << a[2] << endl;

    Array<int> b(5);
    cout << b.size() << endl;

    const Array<int> c(20);
    c[1] = 5;           // Error: assignment to read-only location
    cout << c[1] << endl;

    a = c;
    cout << a[2] << endl;
}
```

# Example: Constructors/Destructor of Class Array

```
template<typename T>  
Array<T>::Array(int n) : _value( new T[n] ), _size(n) { }
```

```
template<typename T>  
Array<T>::Array(const Array<T>& A)  
    : _value( new T[A._size] ), _size(A._size)  
{  
    for (int i = 0; i < _size; ++i) {  
        _value[i] = A._value[i];  
    }  
}
```

```
template<typename T>  
Array<T>::~~Array() { delete[ ] _value; }
```

# Shallow Copy and Deep Copy

```
Array<int> A(10);
```

```
Array<int> B(A);
```

- **Shallow Copy:**
  - If you don't define your own copy constructor, the copy constructor provided by the compiler simply does member-wise copy.
  - Then `A` and `B` will share to the same `_value` array.
  - If you delete `A`, and then `B`, you will have an error as you will delete the embedded `_value` array twice from the heap.
  - Basically, shallow copy is a bad idea if an object *owns* data.
- **Deep Copy:**
  - To take care of the ownership, redefine the copy constructor so that each object has its own copy of the “owned” data members.

# Assignment Operator

- Idea: To assign `b = a`, first throw away the old data `b._value`, then create a new one and assign the elements from `a._value`.

```
template<typename T>
Array<T>& Array<T>::operator=(const Array<T>& A)
{
    delete [ ] _value;
    _size = A._size;
    _value = new T[_size];
    for (int i = 0; i < _size; ++i) {
        _value[i] = A._value[i];
    }
    return *this;
}
```

# Assignment Operator (cont'd)

- There is a serious problem with the previous code. In the assignment `a = a`, the data in the container is lost!
- Solution: When the assignment argument is the same as the object being assigned to, don't do anything.

```
template<typename T>
Array<T>& Array<T>::operator=(const Array<T>& A)
{
    if (this != &A) {
        delete [ ] _value;
        _size = A._size;
        _value = new T[_size];
        for (int i = 0; i < _size; ++i) {
            _value[i] = A._value[i];
        }
    }
    return *this;
}
```

# Assignment Operator (cont'd)

- Here is another way of implementing the assignment operator.

```
template<typename T>
Array<T>& Array<T>::operator=(const Array<T>& A)
{
    _size = A._size;
    Array<T> temp(A);
    std::swap(_value, temp._value);
    return *this;
}
```

*// Here's what std::swap() basically looks like:*

```
template<typename T>
void swap(T& a, T& b)
{
    T temp = a;
    a = b;
    b = temp;
}
```

# Output Operator

- The following output operator is not a member of the `Array<T>` class, but a function template.
- Function templates and class templates work together very well: We can use function templates to implement functions that will work on any class created from a class template.

```
template<typename T>
ostream& operator<<(ostream& os, const Array<T>& A)
{
    for (int i = 0; i < A.size(); ++i) {
        os << A[i] << ' ';
    }
    return os;
}
```

# Why 2 Different Subscript Operators?

- We have 2 subscript operators, and it looks as if we are violating the overloading rule. Both have the same name and the same arguments.

```
Array<int> a(3);  
a[2] = 7;
```

- In the above code, we need a subscript operator that returns an `int&`, not a `const int&`.
- But this subscript operator does not work in this code:

```
int last_element(const Array<int>& a)  
{  
    return a[a.size() - 1];  
}
```

# Why 2 Different Subscript Operators?

- The argument `a` of `last_element()` is a `const Array<int>&`.
- Therefore it can only call `const` member functions: in this example,
  - `int size() const`
  - `const T& operator[ ](int i) const`
- On the other hand, if you are not so strict with `const` correctness (which is a bad idea), you may simply define one subscript function as:

```
T& operator[ ](int i) const { return _value[i]; }
```