Lecture 13: Chain Matrix Multiplication

CLRS Section 15.2 Revised April 17, 2003

Outline of this Lecture

- Recalling matrix multiplication.
- The chain matrix multiplication problem.
- A dynamic programming algorithm for chain matrix multiplication.

Recalling Matrix Multiplication

Matrix: An $n \times m$ matrix A = [a[i, j]] is a twodimensional array

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a[1,1] & a[1,2] & \cdots & a[1,m-1] & a[1,m] \\ a[2,1] & a[2,2] & \cdots & a[2,m-1] & a[2,m] \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a[n,1] & a[n,2] & \cdots & a[n,m-1] & a[n,m] \end{bmatrix},$$

which has n rows and m columns.

Example: The following is a 4×5 matrix:

Recalling Matrix Multiplication

The product C = AB of a $p \times q$ matrix A and a $q \times r$ matrix B is a $p \times r$ matrix given by

$$c[i,j] = \sum_{k=1}^{q} a[i,k]b[k,j]$$

for $1 \leq i \leq p$ and $1 \leq j \leq r$.

Example: If

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 8 & 9 \\ 7 & 6 & -1 \\ 5 & 5 & 6 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 8 \\ 7 & 6 \\ 5 & 5 \end{bmatrix},$$

then

$$C = AB = \begin{bmatrix} 102 & 101 \\ 44 & 87 \\ 70 & 100 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Remarks on Matrix Multiplication

- If *AB* is defined, *BA* may not be defined.
- Quite possible that $AB \neq BA$.
- Multiplication is recursively defined by

$$A_1 A_2 A_3 \cdots A_{s-1} A_s$$

= $A_1 (A_2 (A_3 \cdots (A_{s-1} A_s))).$

• Matrix multiplication is associative , e.g.,

$$A_1 A_2 A_3 = (A_1 A_2) A_3 = A_1 (A_2 A_3),$$

so parenthenization does not change result.

Direct Matrix multiplication *AB*

Given a $p \times q$ matrix A and a $q \times r$ matrix B, the direct way of multiplying C = AB is to compute each

$$c[i,j] = \sum_{k=1}^{q} a[i,k]b[k,j]$$

for $1 \leq i \leq p$ and $1 \leq j \leq r$.

Complexity of Direct Matrix multiplication:

Note that *C* has *pr* entries and each entry takes $\Theta(q)$ time to compute so the total procedure takes $\Theta(pqr)$ time.

Direct Matrix multiplication of *ABC*

Given a $p \times q$ matrix A, a $q \times r$ matrix B and a $r \times s$ matrix C, then ABC can be computed in two ways (AB)C and A(BC):

The number of multiplications needed are:

mult[(AB)C] = pqr + prs,mult[A(BC)] = qrs + pqs.

When p = 5, q = 4, r = 6 and s = 2, then

mult[(AB)C] = 180,mult[A(BC)] = 88.

A big difference!

Implication: The multiplication "sequence" (parenthesization) is important!!

The Chain Matrix Multiplication Problem

Given

dimensions p_0, p_1, \ldots, p_n

corresponding to matrix sequence A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n

where A_i has dimension $p_{i-1} \times p_i$, determine the "multiplication sequence" that minimizes the number of scalar multiplications in computing $A_1A_2 \cdots A_n$. That is, determine how to parenthisize the multiplications.

$$A_1 A_2 A_3 A_4 = (A_1 A_2)(A_3 A_4)$$

= $A_1 (A_2 (A_3 A_4)) = A_1 ((A_2 A_3) A_4)$
= $((A_1 A_2) A_3)(A_4) = (A_1 (A_2 A_3))(A_4)$

Exhaustive search: $\Omega(4^n/n^{3/2})$.

Question: Any better approach? Yes – DP

Step 1: Determine the structure of an optimal solution (in this case, a parenthesization).

Decompose the problem into subproblems: For each pair $1 \le i \le j \le n$, determine the multiplication sequence for $A_{i..j} = A_i A_{i+1} \cdots A_j$ that minimizes the number of multiplications.

Clearly, $A_{i..j}$ is a $p_{i-1} \times p_j$ matrix.

Original Problem: determine sequence of multiplication for $A_{1..n}$.

Step 1: Determine the structure of an optimal solution (in this case, a parenthesization).

High-Level Parenthesization for $A_{i..j}$

For any optimal multiplication sequence, at the last step you are multiplying two matrices $A_{i..k}$ and $A_{k+1..j}$ for some k. That is,

$$A_{i..j} = (A_i \cdots A_k)(A_{k+1} \cdots A_j) = A_{i..k}A_{k+1..j}$$

Example

$$A_{3..6} = (A_3(A_4A_5))(A_6) = A_{3..5}A_{6..6}.$$

Here k = 5.

Step 1 – Continued: Thus the problem of determining the optimal sequence of multiplications is broken down into 2 questions:

• How do we decide where to split the chain (what is *k*)?

(Search all possible values of k)

• How do we parenthesize the subchains $A_{i..k}$ and $A_{k+1..j}$?

(Problem has optimal substructure property that $A_{i..k}$ and $A_{k+1..j}$ must be optimal so we can apply the same procedure recursively)

Step 1 – Continued:

Optimal Substructure Property: If final "optimal" solution of $A_{i..j}$ involves splitting into $A_{i..k}$ and $A_{k+1..j}$ at final step then parenthesization of $A_{i..k}$ and $A_{k+1..j}$ in final optimal solution must also be optimal for the subproblems "standing alone":

If parenthisization of $A_{i..k}$ was not optimal we could replace it by a better parenthesization and get a cheaper final solution, leading to a contradiction.

Similarly, if parenthisization of $A_{k+1..j}$ was not optimal we could replace it by a better parenthesization and get a cheaper final solution, also leading to a contradiction.

Step 2: Recursively define the value of an optimal solution.

As with the 0-1 knapsack problem, we will store the solutions to the subproblems in an array.

For $1 \le i \le j \le n$, let m[i, j] denote the minimum number of multiplications needed to compute $A_{i..j}$. The optimum cost can be described by the following recursive definition.

Step 2: Recursively define the value of an optimal solution.

$$m[i,j] = \begin{cases} 0 & i = j, \\ \min_{i \le k < j} (m[i,k] + m[k+1,j] + p_{i-1}p_kp_j) & i < j \end{cases}$$

Proof: Any optimal sequence of multiplication for $A_{i..j}$ is equivalent to some choice of splitting

$$A_{i..j} = A_{i..k}A_{k+1..j}$$

for some k, where the sequences of multiplications for $A_{i..k}$ and $A_{k+1..j}$ also are optimal. Hence

$$m[i,j] = m[i,k] + m[k+1,j] + p_{i-1}p_kp_j.$$

Step 2 – Continued: We know that, for some k

 $m[i,j] = m[i,k] + m[k+1,j] + p_{i-1}p_kp_j.$

We don't know what k is, though But, there are only j - i possible values of k so we can check them all and find the one which returns a smallest cost.

Therefore

 $m[i,j] = \begin{cases} 0 & i = j, \\ \min_{i \le k < j} (m[i,k] + m[k+1,j] + p_{i-1}p_kp_j) & i < j \end{cases}$

Step 3: Compute the value of an optimal solution in a bottom-up fashion.

Our Table: m[1..n, 1..n]. m[i, j] only defined for $i \leq j$.

The important point is that when we use the equation

 $m[i,j] = \min_{i \le k \le j} (m[i,k] + m[k+1,j] + p_{i-1}p_kp_j)$

to calculate m[i, j] we must have already evaluated m[i, k] and m[k + 1, j].

Note that k - i < j - i and j - (k + 1) < j - i so, to ensure that m[i, j] is evaluated after m[i, k] and m[k + 1, j] we simply let $\ell = 1, 2, ..., n - 1$ and calculate all the terms of the form $m[i, i + \ell], i = 1, ..., n - \ell$ before we calculate the terms of the form $m[i, i + \ell + 1], i = 1, ..., n - \ell - 1$. That is, we calculate in the order

```
m[1,2], m[2,3], m[3,4], \dots, m[n-3, n-2], m[n-2, n-1], m[n-1, n]

m[1,3], m[2,4], m[3,5], \dots, m[n-3, n-1], m[n-2, n]

m[1,4], m[2,5], m[3,6], \dots, m[n-3, n]

:

m[1, n-1], m[2, n]

m[1, n]
```

Dynamic Programming Design Warning!!

When designing a dynamic programming algorithm there are two parts:

1. Finding an appropriate optimal substructure property and corresponding recurrence relation on table items. Example:

 $m[i,j] = \min_{i \le k < j} \left(m[i,k] + m[k+1,j] + p_{i-1}p_k p_j \right)$

2. Filling in the table properly.

This requires finding an ordering of the table elements so that when a table item is calculated using the recurrence relation, all the table values needed by the recurrence relation have already been calculated.

In our example this means that by the time m[i, j] is calculated all of the values m[i, k] and m[k + 1, j] were already calculated.

Example for the Bottom-Up Computation

Example: Given a chain of four matrices A_1 , A_2 , A_3 and A_4 , with $p_0 = 5$, $p_1 = 4$, $p_2 = 6$, $p_3 = 2$ and $p_4 = 7$. Find m[1, 4].

S0: Initialization



Stp 1: Computing m[1, 2] By definition

 $m[1,2] = \min_{1 \le k < 2} (m[1,k] + m[k+1,2] + p_0 p_k p_2)$ = $m[1,1] + m[2,2] + p_0 p_1 p_2 = 120.$



Stp 2: Computing m[2,3] By definition

 $m[2,3] = \min_{\substack{2 \le k < 3}} (m[2,k] + m[k+1,3] + p_1 p_k p_3)$ = m[2,2] + m[3,3] + p_1 p_2 p_3 = 48.



Stp3: Computing m[3, 4] By definition

$$m[3,4] = \min_{3 \le k < 4} (m[3,k] + m[k+1,4] + p_2 p_k p_4)$$

= m[3,3] + m[4,4] + p_2 p_3 p_4 = 84.



Stp4: Computing m[1, 3] By definition

$$m[1,3] = \min_{1 \le k < 3} (m[1,k] + m[k+1,3] + p_0 p_k p_3)$$

= $\min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} m[1,1] + m[2,3] + p_0 p_1 p_3 \\ m[1,2] + m[3,3] + p_0 p_2 p_3 \end{array} \right\}$
= 88.



Stp5: Computing m[2, 4] By definition

$$m[2,4] = \min_{2 \le k < 4} (m[2,k] + m[k+1,4] + p_1 p_k p_4)$$

= $\min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} m[2,2] + m[3,4] + p_1 p_2 p_4 \\ m[2,3] + m[4,4] + p_1 p_3 p_4 \end{array} \right\}$
= 104.



St6: Computing m[1, 4] By definition $m[1, 4] = \min_{1 \le k < 4} (m[1, k] + m[k + 1, 4] + p_0 p_k p_4)$ $= \min \begin{cases} m[1, 1] + m[2, 4] + p_0 p_1 p_4 \\ m[1, 2] + m[3, 4] + p_0 p_2 p_4 \\ m[1, 3] + m[4, 4] + p_0 p_3 p_4 \end{cases}$ = 158.



We are done!

Step 4: Construct an optimal solution from computed information – extract the actual sequence.

Idea: Maintain an array s[1..n, 1..n], where s[i, j] denotes k for the optimal splitting in computing $A_{i..j} = A_{i..k}A_{k+1..j}$. The array s[1..n, 1..n] can be used recursively to recover the multiplication sequence.

How to Recover the Multiplication Sequence?

s[1,n]	$(A_1\cdots A_{s[1,n]})(A_{s[1,n]+1}\cdots A_n)$
s[1,s[1,n]]	$(A_1 \cdots A_{s[1,s[1,n]]})(A_{s[1,s[1,n]]+1} \cdots A_{s[1,n]})$
s[s[1,n] + 1,n]	$(A_{s[1,n]+1} \cdots A_{s[s[1,n]+1,n]}) \times (A_{s[s[1,n]+1,n]+1} \cdots A_n)$
:	:

Do this recursively until the multiplication sequence is determined.

Step 4: Construct an optimal solution from computed information – extract the actual sequence.

Example of Finding the Multiplication Sequence:

Consider n = 6. Assume that the array s[1..6, 1..6] has been computed. The multiplication sequence is recovered as follows.

$$s[1,6] = 3 \quad (A_1A_2A_3)(A_4A_5A_6)$$

$$s[1,3] = 1 \quad (A_1(A_2A_3))$$

$$s[4,6] = 5 \quad ((A_4A_5)A_6)$$

Hence the final multiplication sequence is

 $(A_1(A_2A_3))((A_4A_5)A_6).$

The Dynamic Programming Algorithm

```
Matrix-Chain(p, n)
   for (i = 1 \text{ to } n) m[i, i] = 0;
{
   for (l = 2 \text{ to } n)
    {
       for (i = 1 \text{ to } n - l + 1)
       {
           j=i+l-1;
           m[i,j] = \infty;
           for (k = i \text{ to } j - 1)
           {
               q = m[i,k] + m[k+1,j] + p[i-1] * p[k] * p[j];
               if (q < m[i, j])
               {
                   m[i,j] = q;
                   s[i,j] = k;
               }
           }
       }
    }
   return m and s; (Optimum in m[1, n])
}
```

Complexity: The loops are nested three deep.

Each loop index takes on $\leq n$ values.

Hence the time complexity is $O(n^3)$. Space complexity $\Theta(n^2)$.

Constructing an Optimal Solution: Compute $A_{1..n}$

The actual multiplication code uses the s[i, j] value to determine how to split the current sequence. Assume that the matrices are stored in an array of matrices A[1..n], and that s[i, j] is global to this recursive procedure. The procedure returns a matrix.

```
 \begin{array}{l} \mathsf{Mult}(A, s, i, j) \\ \{ \\ & \text{if } (i < j) \\ \{ \\ & X = Mult(A, s, i, s[i, j]); \\ & X \text{ is now } A_i \cdots A_k, \text{ where } k \text{ is } s[i, j] \\ & Y = Mult(A, s, s[i, j] + 1, j); \\ & Y \text{ is now } A_{k+1} \cdots A_j \\ & \text{return } X * Y; \quad \text{multiply matrices } X \text{ and } Y \\ \\ & \} \\ & \text{else return } A[i]; \\ \end{array} \right\}
```

```
To compute A_1A_2 \cdots A_n, call Mult(A, s, 1, n).
```

Constructing an Optimal Solution: Compute $A_{1..n}$

Example of Constructing an Optimal Solution:

Compute $A_{1..6}$.

Consider the example earlier, where n = 6. Assume that the array s[1..6, 1..6] has been computed. The multiplication sequence is recovered as follows.

 $\begin{aligned} & \mathsf{Mult}(A, s, 1, 6), \, s[1, 6] = 3, \, (A_1 A_2 A_3)(A_4 A_5 A_6) \\ & \mathsf{Mult}(A, s, 1, 3), \, s[1, 3] = 1, \, ((A_1)(A_2 A_3))(A_4 A_5 A_6) \\ & \mathsf{Mult}(A, s, 4, 6), \, s[4, 6] = 5, \, ((A_1)(A_2 A_3))((A_4 A_5)(A_6)) \\ & \mathsf{Mult}(A, s, 2, 3), \, s[2, 3] = 2, \, ((A_1)((A_2)(A_3)))((A_4 A_5)(A_6)) \\ & \mathsf{Mult}(A, s, 4, 5), \, s[4, 5] = 4, \, ((A_1)((A_2)(A_3)))(((A_4)(A_5))(A_6)) \end{aligned}$

Hence the product is computed as follows

 $(A_1(A_2A_3))((A_4A_5)A_6).$