

Comp151

Function Templates & Class Templates

# Function Templates

```
int max(const int& a, const int& b) { ... }  
string max(const string& a, const string& b) { ... }
```

- We often find a set of functions that look very much alike, e.g. for a certain type  $T$ , the max function has the form

```
T max(const T& a, const T& b) { ... }
```

- In C++, we can define one single function definition using templates:

```
template<typename T>  
T max(const T& a, const T& b)  
{  
    return (a > b) ? a : b;  
}
```

# Function Template ..

- The `typename` keyword may be replaced by `class`; the following template definition is equivalent to the one above:

```
template<class T>
T max(const T& a, const T& b)
{
    return (a > b) ? a : b;
}
```

- Prefer the `typename` syntax (the `class` syntax is more old-fashioned).
- The above template definitions are not functions; you cannot call a function template.

# Function Template Instantiation

- The compiler creates functions using function templates.

```
int i = 2, j = 3;  
cout << max(i, j);
```

```
string a("Hello"), b("World");  
cout << max(a, b);
```

- In this case, the compiler creates two different `max( )` functions using the function template

```
template<typename T> T max(const T& a, const T& b);
```

- This is called template instantiation. The parameter `T` in the template definition is called the formal parameter or formal argument.
- In the above code, the template is instantiated with the actual arguments `int` and `string`, respectively.

# Template: Formal Argument Matching

- When the compiler instantiates a template, it determines the actual type of the template parameter by looking at the types of the actual arguments:

```
template<typename T> T max(const T& a, const T& b);  
  
void {} {  
    cout << max(3, 5);           // T is int  
    cout << max(4.3, 5.6);     // T is double  
}
```

- \* This ability to automatically infer the type of variables is known as type inference. (This approach was pioneered by functional languages with parametric polymorphism, like ML and Haskell, which are called type-inferring languages.)
- However, there is **no** automatic type conversion for template arguments:  

```
cout << max(4, 5.5);           // Error
```
- You can help by explicitly instantiating the function template:  

```
cout << max<double>(4, 5.5);
```

# Template: More Than One Formal Argument

- Another way of using the `max` template with arguments of different types is changing its definition in the following way:

```
template<typename T1, typename T2>
T1 max(const T1& a, const T2& b)
{
    return (a > b) ? a : b;
}

void f() {
    cout << max(4, 5.5);           // T1 is int, T2 is double
    cout << max(5.5, 4);          // T1 is double, T2 is int
}
```

- However, there is a subtle problem here: the return type of `max` is the same as the type of the first argument. So what will the above code print?

# Template: More Than One Formal Argument ..

- The following template definition does not suffer from this problem:

```
template<typename T1, typename T2>
void print_max(const T1& a, const T2& b)
{
    cout << ((a > b) ? a : b) << endl;
}

void f()
{
    print_max(4, 5.5);           // Prints 5.5
    print_max(5.5, 4);         // Prints 5.5
}
```

# Template “Code Bloat”: Too Many Combinations

- Consider the following code:

```
short s = 1; char c = 'A';  
int i = 1023; double d = 3.1415;
```

```
print_max(s, s); print_max(s, c); print_max(s, i); print_max(s, d);  
print_max(c, s); print_max(c, c); print_max(c, i); print_max(c, d);  
print_max(i, s); print_max(i, c); print_max(i, i); print_max(i, d);  
print_max(d, s); print_max(d, c); print_max(d, i); print_max(d, d);
```

- The compiler should instantiate `print_max( )` for 16 different combinations of arguments.
- With the current compiler technology, this means that we get 16 (almost identical) fragments of code in the executable program. There is no sharing of code.
- So, an innocent looking program may have a surprisingly large binary size, if you are not careful.

# Class Templates

```
template<typename T>
class thing {
public:
    thing(T data);
    ~thing() { delete x; }
    T get_data() { return *x; }
    T set_data(T data);
private:
    T* x;
};

template<typename T>
thing<T>:: thing(T data)
{
    x = new T; *x = data;
}

template<typename T>
T thing<T>::set_data(T data)
{
    *x = data;
}
```

```
#include <iostream>
#include <string>
using namespace std;

main()
{
    thing<int> i_thing(5);
    thing<string> s_thing("COMP151");

    cout << i_thing.get_data() << endl;
    cout << s_thing.get_data() << endl;

    i_thing.set_data(10);
    s_thing.set_data("CPEG");
    cout << i_thing.get_data() << endl;
    cout << s_thing.get_data() << endl;
}
```

# Class Templates

- The template mechanism works for classes as well. This is particularly useful for defining container classes.

In the next few slides we will define

```
template<typename T>  
class List_Node
```

and a *container class*

```
template<typename T>  
class List
```

that uses `List_Node`.

- Note the line `friend class list<T>` in the definition of `List_Node`.

# Class Templates: List\_Node

```
template<typename T>
class List_Node {
public:
    List_Node(const T& data);
    List_Node<T>* next();
    // Other member functions

private:
    List_Node<T>* next_m;
    List_Node<T>* prev_m;
    T data_m;

    friend class List<T>;
};
```

# Class Templates: List\_Node

```
template<typename T>  
List_Node<T>::List_Node(const T& data)  
    : data_m(data), next_m(0), prev_m(0) { }
```

```
template<typename T>  
List_Node<T>* List_Node<T>::next()  
{  
    return next_m;  
}
```

# Class Templates: List

- Using the `List_Node<T>` class, we define our list class template:

```
template<typename T>
class List {
public:
    List();
    void append(const T& item);
    // Other member functions
private:
    List_Node<T>* head_m;
    List_Node<T>* tail_m;
};
```

# Class Templates: List

```
template<typename T>
List<T>::List() : head_m(0), tail_m(0) { }
```

```
template<typename T>
void List<T>::append(const T& item)
{
    List_Node<T>* new_node = new List_Node<T>(item);
    if (! tail_m) {
        head_m = tail_m = new_node;
    } else {
        // ...
    }
}
```

# Class Templates: List Example

- Now we can use our brand new list class to store any type of element that we want, without having to resort to "code reuse by copying":

```
List<Person> people;  
Person person("Jane Doe");  
people.append(person);  
people.append(Person("John Doe"));
```

```
List<int> primes;  
primes.append(2);  
primes.append(3);  
primes.append(5);
```

# Difference between class & function templates

- Remember that for function templates, the compiler can infer the template arguments:

```
int i = max(4, 5);  
int j = max<int>(7, 2);           // OK, but not needed
```

- For class templates, you always have to specify the actual template arguments; the compiler does not infer the template arguments:

```
List primes;                       // Error  
primes.append(2);
```

# Function Template: Common Errors

```
template <class T> T* create() { ... };
```

```
template <class T> void f()  
{  
    T a;  
    ...  
}
```

- With the template definition above, what is the function type of the following calls?

```
create();  
f();
```

# Function Template: Common Errors

```
template <class T> T* create() { ... };
```

```
template <class T> void f()  
{  
    T a;  
    ...  
}
```

- With the template definition above, what is the function type of the following calls?

```
create(); // Error! Use e.g. create<int>() instead  
f();     // Error! Use e.g. f<float>() instead
```

- Reason: the compiler has to be able to infer the actual function types from calls to the template function.

# Separate Compilation For Templates??

- For normal (non-template) functions, we usually put the declaration in a header file, and the definition in the corresponding \*.cpp file. According to the C++ standard, we would expect this to work for function templates as well:

```
// File "max.hpp"  
template <typename T> T max(const T& a, const T& b);
```

```
// File "max.cpp"  
template <typename T> T max(const T& a, const T& b)  
{  
    return (a > b) ? a : b;  
}
```

- It seems like the same should apply to separating class definition in a \*.hpp and implementation of class member functions in the corresponding \*.cpp.
- But a function/class is instantiated *only* if it is used *and* possibly on *every* use ... ???

# Can We Do This?

```
// File "max.hpp"  
template<typename T> T max(const T& a, const T& b);
```

```
// File "max.cpp"  
template<typename T> T max(const T& a, const T& b)  
{  
    return (a > b) ? a : b;  
}
```

```
// File "a.cpp"  
#include "max.hpp"  
int main() { cout << max(2,4) << endl; }
```

```
g++ -c a.cpp; g++ -c max.cpp; g++ *.o
```

# Inclusion Compilation of Templates

```
// File "a.cpp"  
#include "max.hpp"  
int main()  
{  
    cout << max(2,4) << endl;  
}
```

```
// File "max.hpp"  
template<typename T>  
T max(const T& a, const T& b)  
{  
    return (a > b) ? a : b;  
}
```

```
g++ -o a.out a.cpp
```

While there are other ways to compile templates, the simplest one is to include the template header file in every file that uses the template.