Generic Programming:
Container Classes
Container Classes

- Container classes are a typical use for class templates, since we need container classes for objects of many different types, and the types are not known when the container class is designed.
- Let’s design a container that looks like an array, but that is a first-class type: so that assignment and call-by-value is possible.
- We want the container to be *homogeneous*: all the elements must have the same type.
- But should a container with 10 \texttt{int} elements be the same type as a container with 20 \texttt{int} elements? Both choices are sensible design decisions.

Remark: The \texttt{vector} type in STL is better than the classes we’ll write in this lecture, so this is just for understanding. We are doing this to illustrate how C++’s actual \texttt{vector, list, etc.} can be implemented.
Example: Container Class – bunch.h

template<typename T, int N>
class Bunch {
private:
    T _value[N];
public:
    Bunch();
    Bunch(const Bunch &B);
    ~Bunch();

    int size() const { return N; }
    T& operator[](int i) { return _value[i]; }
    T& operator=(const Bunch &B);
};
Example: Use of Class Bunch

Bunch<int, 10> A;
cout << A[3];

Bunch<string, 50> B;
B[49] = "Hello world";

Bunch<string, 50> C;
C = B;       // Legal
Bunch<int, 20> D;
D = A;       // Error: D and A are of different types
#ifndef ARRAY_H
#define ARRAY_H

template<typename T>
class Array {
private:
    T* _value;
    int _size;
public:
    Array(int n = 10);  // Default/conversion constructor
    Array(const Array& A);  // Copy constructor
    ~Array();

    int size() const { return _size; }

    Array<T>& operator=(const Array<T>& A);  // Assignment operator
    T& operator[](int i) { return _value[i]; }  // Access to an element
    const T& operator[](int i) const { return _value[i]; }  // Const access to an element
};

#endif
Example: Use of Class Array

```cpp
#include <iostream>
#include "array.h"
using namespace std;

int main()
{
    Array<int> a;
    cout << a.size() << endl;
    a[9] = 17;
    ++a[2];
    cout << a[2] << endl;

    Array<int> b(5);
    cout << b.size() << endl;

    const Array<int> c(20);
    c[1] = 5;
    cout << c[1] << endl;

    a = c;
    cout << a[2] << endl;
}
```
Example: Constructors/Destructor of Class Array

```cpp
template<typename T>
Array<T>::Array(int n) : _value( new T[n] ), _size(n) {}

template<typename T>
Array<T>::Array(const Array<T>& A)
    : _value( new T[A._size] ), _size(A._size)
{
    for (int i = 0; i < _size; ++i) {
        _value[i] = A._value[i];
    }
}

template<typename T>
Array<T>::~Array() { delete[] _value; }
```
Shallow Copy and Deep Copy

Array<int> A(10);
Array<int> B(A);

• Shallow Copy:
  – If you don't define your own copy constructor, the copy constructor provided by the compiler simply does member-wise copy.
  – Then A and B will share to the same _value array.
  – If you delete A, and then B, you will have an error as you will delete the embedded _value array twice from the heap.
  – Basically, shallow copy is a bad idea if an object owns data.

• Deep Copy:
  – To take care of the ownership, redefine the copy constructor so that each object has its own copy of the "owned" data members.
Assignment Operator

- Idea: To assign \( b = a \), first throw away the old data \( b._\text{value} \), then create a new one and assign the elements from \( a._\text{value} \).

```
template<typename T>
Array<T>& Array<T>::operator=(const Array<T>& A)
{
    delete [ ] _value;
    _size = A._size;
    _value = new T[_size];
    for (int i = 0; i < _size; ++i) {
        _value[i] = A._value[i];
    }
    return *this;
}
```
Assignment Operator (cont’d)

• There is a serious problem with the previous code. In the assignment a = a, the data in the container is lost!
• Solution: When the assignment argument is the same as the object being assigned to, don't do anything.

```
template<typename T>
Array<T>& Array<T>::operator=(const Array<T>& A)
{
    if (this != &A) {
        delete [] _value;
        _size = A._size;
        _value = new T[_size];
        for (int i = 0; i < _size; ++i) {
            _value[i] = A._value[i];
        }
    }
    return *this;
}
```
Assignment Operator (cont’d)

• Here is another way of implementing the assignment operator.

```cpp
template<typename T>
Array<T>& Array<T>::operator=(const Array<T>& A)
{
    _size = A._size;
    Array<T> temp(A);
    std::swap(_value, temp._value);
    return *this;
}

// Here’s what std::swap() basically looks like:
template<typename T>
void swap(T& a, T& b)
{
    T temp = a;
    a = b;
    b = temp;
}
```
Output Operator

- The following output operator is not a member of the `Array<T>` class, but a function template.
- Function templates and class templates work together very well: We can use function templates to implement functions that will work on any class created from a class template.

```cpp
template<typename T>
ostream& operator<<(ostream& os, const Array<T>& A)
{
    for (int i = 0; i < A.size(); ++i) {
        os << A[i] << ' ';
    }
    return os;
}
```
Why 2 Different Subscript Operators?

- We have 2 subscript operators, and it looks as if we are violating the overloading rule. Both have the same name and the same arguments.

```cpp
Array<int> a(3);
a[2] = 7;
```

- In the above code, we need a subscript operator that returns an `int&`, not a `const int&`.

- But this subscript operator does not work in this code:

```cpp
int last_element(const Array<int>& a)
{
    return a[a.size() - 1];
}
```
Why 2 Different Subscript Operators?

- **The argument** `a` **of** `last_element()` **is a const Array<int>&.**
- Therefore it can **only call** `const` **member functions**: in this example,
  - `int size() const`
  - `const T& operator[](int i) const`
- **On the other hand**, if you are not so strict with `const` correctness (which is a **bad** idea), you may simply define one subscript function as:

```cpp
T& operator[](int i) const { return _value[i]; }
```