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Inheritance:
Initialization & Substitution Principle
Initializing Base Class Objects

- If class C is derived from class B which is in turn derived from class A, then C will contain data members of both B and A.

- Class C's constructor can only call class B's constructor; and, class B's constructor can only call class A's constructor, i.e., it is the responsibility of each derived class to initialize its direct base class correctly.
Example: Initializing Base Class Objects

• Before a Student object can come into existence, we have to create its Person part. This has to be done using one of the constructors of Person. We use the same “colon syntax” as for initializing data members:

```cpp
Student::Student(string n, string a, Department d) :
    Person(n, a, d), enrolled(NULL), num_courses(0) {};
```

• Similarly, PG_Student has to create its Student part before it can be created; but, it does not need to create its Person part by calling Person's constructor. In fact, its Person part should have been created by Student.

```cpp
PG_Student(string n, string a, Department d) :
    Student(n, a, d), research_topic(NONE) {};
```
#include <iostream>
using namespace std;

class Address {
public:
    Address() { cout << "Address's constructor" << endl; }
    ~Address() { cout << "Address's destructor" << endl; }
};

class Person {
public:
    Person() { cout << "Person's constructor" << endl; }
    ~Person() { cout << "Person's destructor" << endl; }
};

class Student : public Person {
private: Address address;
public:
    Student() { cout << "Student's constructor" << endl; }
    ~Student() { cout << "Student's destructor" << endl; }
};

int main() { Student x; }
Order of Construction / Destruction

- Person's constructor
- Address's constructor
- Student's constructor
- Student's destructor
- Address's destructor
- Person's destructor
Calling Constructors of Derived Classes

// This works fine
#include <iostream>
using namespace std;

class B {
private: int x;
public:
    B(): x(10) {}
    void displayB() { cout << "x = " << x << endl; }
};

class D: public B {
private: int y;
public:
    D(): y(20) {} // Default Constructor used for B
    void displayD() { cout << "y = " << y << endl; }
};

void main() {
    D derived;
    derived.displayB(); derived.displayD();
}
Calling Constructors of Derived Classes

// This works fine
#include <iostream>
using namespace std;

class B {
private: int x;
public:
    B(int x_val): x(x_val) { }
    void displayB() { cout << "x = " << x << endl; }
};

class D: public B {
private: int y;
public:
    D(int x_val, int y_val): B(x_val), y(y_val) { } // Type Conversion Constructor used for B
    void displayD() { cout << "y = " << y << endl; }
};

void main() {
    D derived(10, 20);
    derived.displayB(); derived.displayD();
}
// This does not compile. WHY?
#include <iostream>
using namespace std;

class B {
    private: int x;
    public:
        B(int x_val): x(x_val) { }
        void displayB() { cout << "x = " << x << endl; }
};

class D: public B {
    private: int y;
    public:
        D() { }
        void displayD() { cout << "y = " << y << endl; }
};

void main() { D derived; }
Polymorphic Substitution Principle

• The single most important rule in OOP with C++ is:

  Inheritance means “is a”.

• If class D (the derived class) inherits from class B (the base class):
  – Every object of type D is also an object of type B, but not vice-versa.
  – B is a more general concept, D is a more special concept.
  – Where an object of type B is needed, an object of type D can be used instead.
Polymorphic Substitution Principle

In C++, using our university administration example, where `Student` is derived from `Person`, this means:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Any function that expects an argument of type…</th>
<th>… will also accept:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Person</td>
<td>Student</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>pointer to Person</code></td>
<td><code>pointer to Student</code></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>Person reference</code></td>
<td><code>Student reference</code></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This is also known as “Liskov Substitution Principle”.

Example: Substitution in Arguments

```c
void dance(const Person& p); // Anyone can dance
void study(const Student& s); // Only students study

void dance(Person* p);       // Anyone can dance
void study(Student* s);      // Only students study

int main()
{
    Person p; Student s;
    dance(p); dance(s);
    study(s); study(p);
    dance(&p); dance(&s);
    study(&s); study(&p);
}
```
Extending Class Hierarchies

• We can easily add classes to our existing class hierarchy of Person, Student, and Teacher.

  – New classes can immediately benefit from all functions that are available for their base classes.

  – e.g. **bool print_mailing_label(const Person& person)** will work immediately for a new class type Research_Scholar, even though this type of object was unknown when **print_mailing_label()** was designed and written!

  – In fact, it is not even necessary to recompile the existing code: It is enough just to link the new class with the object code for Person and **print_mailing_label()**.
Slicing

• An assignment from derived class to base class does “slicing”. This is rarely desirable. Once slicing has happened, there is no trace of the fact that we started with a student.

  Student student("Snoopy", "HKUST", math);
  Person* pp = &student;
  Person* pp2 = new Student("Mickey", "HKUST", math);

  Person person;
  person = student;               // What does "person" have?
Example: Name Conflicts?

// two different display() functions
#include <iostream>
using namespace std;

class B {
    private: int x;
    public:
        B(): x(10) { }
        void display() { cout << "x = " << x << endl; }
    }

class D: public B {
    private: int y;
    public:
        D(): y(20) { }
        void display() { cout << "y = " << y << endl; }
    }

void main() {
    D derived;
    derived.display(); // Which display() gets called?
}
Example: Name Conflicts?

// two different display() functions
#include <iostream>
using namespace std;

class B {
  private: int x;
  public:
    B(): x(10) { }
    void display() { cout << "x = " << x << endl; }
}

class D: public B {
  private: int y;
  public:
    D(): y(20) { }
    void display() { cout << "y = " << y << endl; }
}

void main() {
  D derived;
  derived.display(); // By default, D’s display() gets called.
  derived.B::display(); // You can distinguish using B::
}
Example: Name Conflicts?

// two different x
#include <iostream>
using namespace std;

class B {
  public:
    int x;
    B(): x(10) { }
    void display() { cout << "x = " << x << endl; }
};

class D: public B {
  public:
    int x;
    D(): x(20) { }
    void display() { cout << "x = " << x << endl; }
};

void main() {
  D derived;
  derived.display();      // What gets printed?
  derived.B::display();   // What gets printed?
}
Example: Name Conflicts?

// two different x
#include <iostream>
using namespace std;

class B {
    public:
        int x;
        B() : x(10) { }
        void display() { cout << "B::x = " << x << endl; }
    };

class D : public B {
    public:
        int x;
        D() : x(20) { }
        void display() { cout << "D::x = " << x << endl; }
    };

void main() {
    D derived;
    derived.display();      // Prints D::x = 20
    derived.B::display();   // Prints B::x = 10
}
Example: Resolving Name Conflicts

- Derived classes can have new, uninherited, members (data and functions) with the same name as those in the base class. These members are **totally distinct** from the ones inherited from the base class.

- In cases in which this occurs, i.e., in which both base class and derived class have identically named members, the compiler defaults to choosing the derived class member. In order to override the defaults and access the base class member the member's **type** must be specified as well.

- **Example**: If $B$ is the base class, $D$ the derived class and they both have an `int x` data member, then inside $D$ we must specify $B::x$ to specify the `x` that is a base class member (or $d.B::x$ if outside the class $D$).
  
  - That is, $B::x$ is used inside member function definitions, whereas $d.B::x$ is used when object $D d$ is being used to access the member.
Example: Name Conflicts?

// two different x
#include <iostream>
using namespace std;

class B {
  public:
    int x;
    B(): x(10) { }
    void display() { cout << "B::x = " << x << endl; }
};

class D: public B {
  public:
    int x;
    D(): x(20) { }
    void display() { cout << "D::x = " << x << endl; }
    void displayB() { cout << "B::x = " << B::x << endl; }
};

void main() {
  D derived;
  derived.display(); // Prints D::x = 20
  derived.B::display(); // Prints B::x = 10
  derived.displayB(); // Prints B::x = 10
}
Example: More on Name Conflicts

class B {
    int x, y;
    public:
        B() : x(1), y(2) { cout << "Base class constructor" << endl; }
        void f() { cout << "Base class: " << x << " , " << y << endl; }
};

class D : public B {
    float x, y;
    public:
        D() : x(10.0), y(20.0) { cout << "Derived class constructor" << endl; }
        void f() { cout << "Derived class: " << x << " , " << y << "\t" B::f(); }
};

void smart(B* z) { cout << "Inside smart(): "; z->f(); }

int main()
{
    B base; B* b = &base;
    D derived; D* d = &derived;

    base.f(); derived.f();
    b = &derived; b->f();
    smart(b); smart(d);
}
Example Output

Base class constructor
Base class constructor
Derived class constructor
Base class: 1, 2
Derived class: 10, 20 Base class: 1, 2
Base class: 1, 2
Inside smart(): Base class: 1, 2
Inside smart(): Base class: 1, 2
Example: Design of Bird Class

class Bird
{
    ...
    public:
        ...
        void hatch_eggs(); // Birds lay eggs
        void lay_eggs(int n);
        void spread_wings(); // Birds have wings
        void fly(); // Birds can fly
        int altitude() const; // return current altitude
};

• We can reuse Bird to implement some special cases:

class Swallow : public Bird { ... };
class Eagle : public Bird { public: void hunt_prey(Bird *prey); };
Example: Design of Penguin Class (1)

- Now we need a penguin object, and we would like to reuse all the code we have for hatching and laying eggs, spreading wings, etc.

```cpp
class Penguin : public Bird
{
    ...

    public:
    ...
    void swim();
    void catch_fish();
};
```

Oops! Penguins cannot fly!
What can we do?
Example: Design of Penguin Class (2)

• Some people try to solve the problem like this:

```cpp
void Penguin::fly()
{
    cerr << "Penguins cannot fly!" << endl;
    exit(999);
}
```

• But this doesn't really say “Penguins cannot fly”.
• It says: “Penguins can fly, but they are forbidden to do so!”
Example: Design of Penguin Class (3)

• Some people try to solve the problem like this:

  – Penguins can fly, but the altitude is zero:

```cpp
class Penguin : public Bird
{
  ...
  public:
  ...
    void swim();
    void catch_fish();
    void fly() {}
    int altitude() const { return 0; }
};
```
Penguin Example: What's Wrong?

- Declaring **Penguin** as a derived class of **Bird** violates the substitution principle.
- It is not possible to use a **Penguin** in **some** functions that work for **Bird** objects:

  ```cpp
  void find_food(Bird* b)
  {
    b->fly(); // visibility decreases with altitude
    double visibility = 10.0 / b->altitude();
    ...
  }
  ```

- The only solution is: **REDESIGN!**
Summary

• Behavior and structure of the base class is inherited by the derived class.

• However, constructors and destructor are an exception. They are never inherited.

• There is a kind of contract between base class and derived class:
  – The base class provides functionality and structure (methods and data members).
  – The derived class guarantees that the base class is initialized in a consistent state by calling an appropriate constructor.

• A base class is constructed before the derived class.

• A base class is destructed after the derived class.