COMP2012H

Generic Programming: Container Classes

Container Classes

- Container classes are a typical use for class templates, since we need container classes for objects of many different types, and the types are not known when the container class is designed.
- Let's design a container that looks like an array, but that is a firstclass type: so that assignment and call-by-value is possible.
- We want the container to be *homogeneous*: all the elements must have the same type.
- But should a container with 10 int elements be the same type as a container with 20 int elements?

Both choices are sensible design decisions.

<u>Remark:</u> The vector type in STL is better than the classes we'll write in this lecture, so this is just for understanding. We are doing this to illustrate how C++'s actual vector, list, etc. can be implemented.

Example: Container Class – bunch.hpp

```
template<typename T, int N>
class Bunch {
public:
   Bunch();
```

```
Bunch(const Bunch &B);
```

```
~Bunch();
```

```
int size() const { return N; }
T& operator[](int i) { return value_m[i]; }
T& operator=(const Bunch &B);
private:
T value_m[N];
```

};

Example: Use of Class Bunch

Bunch<int, 10> a; cout << a[3]; a[7] = 13; ++a[2];

Bunch<string, 50> b; b[49] = "Hello world";

Bunch <string, 50=""> c;</string,>	
c = b;	// Legal
Bunch <int, 20=""> d;</int,>	
d = a;	// Error: d and a are of different types

A More Flexible Container Class – array.hpp

```
#ifndef ARRAY_HPP
#define ARRAY_HPP
template<typename T>
class Array {
private:
    T* value_m;
    int size_m;
public:
```

```
Array(int n = 10);
Array(const Array& A);
~Array();
```

// Default/conversion constructor
// Copy constructor

```
int size() const { return size_m; }
Array<T>& operator=(const Array<T>& A); // Assignment operator
T& operator[](int i) { return value_m[i]; }; // Access to an element
const T& operator[](int i) const { return value_m[i]; }; // Const access to an element
```

#endif

};

Example: Use of Class Array

```
#include <iostream>
#include "array.hpp"
using namespace std;
int main()
{
   Array<int> a;
   cout << a.size() << endl;</pre>
   a[9] = 17;
                                    // Ok: uses non-const version of operator[]
   ++a[2];
                                    // Ok: uses non-const version of operator[]
   cout << a[2] << endl;
   Array<int> b(5);
   cout << b.size() << endl;</pre>
   const Array < int > c(20);
   c[1] = 5;
                                    // Error: assignment to read-only location
   cout << c[1] << endl;
   a = c;
   cout << a[2] << endl;
}
```

Example: Constructors/Destructor of Class Array

```
template<typename T>
Array<T>::Array(int n) : value_m( new T[n] ), size_m(n) { }
template<typename T>
Array<T>::Array(const Array<T>& A)
      : value_m( new T[A.size_m] ), _size(A.size_m)
```

```
{
    for (int i = 0; i < size_m; ++i) {
        value_m[i] = A.value_m[i];
    }
}</pre>
```

```
template<typename T>
Array<T>::~Array() { delete[ ] value_m; }
```

Shallow Copy and Deep Copy

```
Array<int> A(10);
Array<int> B(A);
```

- Shallow Copy:
 - If you don't define your own copy constructor, the copy constructor provided by the compiler simply does member-wise copy.
 - Then A and B will share to the same value_m array.
 - If you delete A, and then B, you will have an error as you will delete the embedded value_m array twice from the heap.
 - Basically, shallow copy is a bad idea if an object owns data.
- Deep Copy:
 - To take care of the ownership, redefine the copy constructor so that each object has its own copy of the "owned" data members.

Assignment Operator

 Idea: To assign b = a, first throw away the old data b.value_m, then create a new one and assign the elements from a.value m.

```
template<typename T>
Array<T>& Array<T>::operator=(const Array<T>& A)
{
    delete [] value_m;
    size_m = A. size_m;
    value_m = new T[size_m];
    for (int i = 0; i < size_m; ++i) {
        value_m[i] = A.value_m[i];
    }
    return *this;
}</pre>
```

Assignment Operator (cont'd)

- There is a serious problem with the previous code. In the assignment a = a, the data in the container is lost!
- Solution: When the assignment argument is the same as the object being assigned to, don't do anything.

```
template<typename T>
Array<T>& Array<T>::operator=(const Array<T>& A)
{
    if (this != &A) {
        delete [] value_m;
        size_m = A. size_m;
        value_m = new T[size_m];
        for (int i = 0; i < size_m; ++i) {
            value_m[i] = A.value_m[i];
        }
    }
    return *this;
}</pre>
```

Assignment Operator (cont'd)

Here is another way of implementing the assignment operator.
 Quiz: Why does this elegant trick work??

```
template<typename T>
Array<T>& Array<T>::operator=(const Array<T>& A)
ł
  size_m = A.size_m;
  Array<T> temp(A);
   std::swap( value m, temp.value m);
  return *this:
// Here' s what std::swap() basically looks like:
template<typename T>
void swap(T& a, T& b)
  T temp = a;
  a = b;
  b = temp;
}
```

Output Operator

- The following output operator is not a member of the Array<T> class, but a function template.
- Function templates and class templates work together very well: We can use function templates to implement functions that will work on any class created from a class template.

```
template<typename T>
ostream& operator<<(ostream& os, const Array<T>& A)
{
  for (int i = 0; i < A.size(); ++i) {
    os << A[i] << ' ';
  }
  return os;
}</pre>
```

Why 2 Different Subscript Operators?

• We have 2 subscript operators, and it looks as if we are violating the overloading rule. Both have the same name and the same arguments.

Array<int> a(3); a[2] = 7; // Quiz: which version of operator[] is called?

- In the above code, we need a subscript operator that returns an int&, not a const int&.
- But this subscript operator does not work in this code:

```
int last_element(const Array<int>& a)
{
    return a[a.size() - 1];
}
```

Why 2 Different Subscript Operators?

- The argument a of last_element() is a const Array<int>&.
- Therefore it can only call const member functions: in this example,
 - int size() const
 - const T& operator[](int i) const
- Note: On the other hand, if bad programmers are not so strict with const correctness (which is a <u>bad</u> idea), they could simply define one subscript function as:

T& operator[](int i) const { return value_m[i]; } // This is dangerous! (Why?)