COMP 6611B: Topics on Cloud Computing and Data Analytics Systems

Wei Wang Department of Computer Science & Engineering HKUST Fall 2015

Above the Clouds

Utility Computing

- Applications and computing resources delivered as a service over the Internet
 - Pay-as-you-go
- Provided by the hardwares and system softwares in the datacenters



Visions

- The illusion of infinite computing resources available on demand
- The elimination of an up-front commitment by Cloud users
- The ability to pay for use of computing resources on a short-term basis as needed



	vCPU	ECU	Memory (GiB)	Instance Storage (GB)	Linux/UNIX Usage			
General Purpose - Current Generation								
t2.micro	1	Variable	1	EBS Only	\$0.013 per Hour			
t2.small	1	Variable	2	EBS Only	\$0.026 per Hour			
t2.medium	2	Variable	4	EBS Only	\$0.052 per Hour			
t2.large	2	Variable	8	EBS Only	\$0.104 per Hour			
m4.large	2	6.5	8	EBS Only	\$0.126 per Hour			
m4.xlarge	4	13	16	EBS Only	\$0.252 per Hour			
m4.2xlarge	8	26	32	EBS Only	\$0.504 per Hour			
m4.4xlarge	16	53.5	64	EBS Only	\$1.008 per Hour			
m4.10xlarge	40	124.5	160	EBS Only	\$2.52 per Hour			
m3.medium	1	3	3.75	1 x 4 SSD	\$0.067 per Hour			
m3.large	2	6.5	7.5	1 x 32 SSD	\$0.133 per Hour			
m3.xlarge	4	13	15	2 x 40 SSD	\$0.266 per Hour			
m3.2xlarge	8	26	30	2 x 80 SSD	\$0.532 per Hour			



- Pay-as-you-go model
 - No upfront cost, no contract, no minimum usage commitment
 - Fixed hourly rate
 - Billing cycle rounded to nearest hour: 1.5 h = 2 h

1 instance for 1000 h = 1000 instances for 1 h

Cloud Economics: does it make sense?

Shall I move to the Cloud?

Profit from cloud >= profit from in-house infrastructures

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{UserHours}_{cloud} \times (\text{revenue} - \text{Cost}_{cloud}) \\ & \geq \text{UserHours}_{datacenter} \times (\text{revenue} - \frac{\text{Cost}_{datacenter}}{\text{Utilization}}) \end{aligned}$$

Provisioning for peak load

Even if we can accurately predict the peak load



Underprovisioning



Underprovisioning



Cloud provisioning on demand



Case study



Animoto: a cloud-based video creation service

- Scale from 50 servers to 3500 servers in 3 days when making its services available via Facebook
- Scale back down to a level well below the peak afterwards

Highly profitable business for Cloud providers

Economy of scale

 A medium-sized datacenter (~1k servers) vs. a large datacenter (~50k servers) in 2006

Technology	Cost in Medium-sized DC	Cost in Very Large DC	Ratio
Network	\$95 per Mbit/sec/month	\$13 per Mbit/sec/month	7.1
Storage	\$2.20 per GByte / month	\$0.40 per GByte / month	5.7
Administration	\approx 140 Servers / Administrator	>1000 Servers / Administrator	7.1

5 - 7x decrease of cost!

Statistical multiplexing



Plus...

- Leverage existing investment, e.g., Amazon
- **Defend a franchise**, e.g., Microsoft Azure
- Attack an incumbent, e.g., Google AppEngine
- Leverage customer relationships, e.g., IBM
- Become a platform, e.g., Facebook, Apple, etc.

Enabling technology: Virtualization



Traditional stack

Virtualized stack

What kind of Cloud services do I expect?

Infrastructure-as-a-Service

- Processing, storage, networks, and other computing resources, typically in a form of virtual machines
- Full control of OS, storage, applications, and some networking components (e.g., firewalls)



Platform-as-a-Service

- Deploy onto the cloud infrastructure the applications created by programming languages, libraries, services, and tools supported by the provider
- No control of OS, storage, or network, but can control the deployed applications and host environment



Software-as-a-Service

- Use the provider's applications running on a cloud infrastructure
- No control of network, OS, storage, and application capabilities, except limited user-specific configuration settings





Separation of Responsibilities



Infrastructure (as a Service)

PlatformSoftware(as a Service)(as a Service)

Lower-level, General-purpose, Less managed Higher-level, Application-specific, More managed

We shall focus on laaS in this course

How can the Cloud services be provisioned?

A look into the datacenter

Commodity Server

Rack

Source: L. Barroso et al., "The datacenter as a computer: An introduction to the design of warehouse-scale machines."

Network infrastructure

 Back to 2004 when Google has only 20k servers in a datacenter

Source: A. Singh et al., "Jupiter rising: A decade of Clos topologies and centralized control in Google's datacenter network," ACM SIGCOMM'15.

Things have changed quite a lot

Source: A. Singh et al., "Jupiter rising: A decade of Clos topologies and centralized control in Google's datacenter network," ACM SIGCOMM'15.

Challenge: network

Source: A. Singh et al., "Jupiter rising: A decade of Clos topologies and centralized control in Google's datacenter network," ACM SIGCOMM'15.

Challenge: storage

- Large dataset cannot fit into a local storage
- Persistent storage must be distributed
 - ► GFS, BigTable, HDFS, Cassandra, S3, etc.
- Local storage goes volatile
 - Cache for data being served
 - Iocal logging and async copy to persistent storage

Challenge: scale

- Large cluster: able to host petabytes of data
- Extremely large cluster: at Google, the storage system pages a user if there is only a few petabytes of spaces left available!
 - A 10k-node cluster is considered small- to mediumsized

Challenge: faults

>1%	DRAM errors per year		
2-10%	Annual failure rate of disk drive		
2	# crashes per machine-year		
2-6	# OS upgrades per machine-year		
>1	Power utility events per year		

Failure is a norm, not an exception!

► A 2000-node cluster will have >10 machines crashing per day

- Luiz Barroso

Server heterogeneity

 Servers span multiple generations representing different points in the configuration space

Number of machines	Platform	CPUs	Memory
6732	В	0.50	0.50
3863	В	0.50	0.25
1001	В	0.50	0.75
795	C	1.00	1.00
126	A	0.25	0.25
52	В	0.50	0.12
5	В	0.50	0.03
5	В	0.50	0.97
3	C	1.00	0.50
1	В	0.50	0.06

Workload heterogeneity

Source: A. Ghodsi et al., "Dominant resource fairness: fair allocation of multiple resource types," USENIX/ACM NSDI'11.

Challenges due to heterogeneity

- Hard to provide predictable and consistent services
- Hard to monitor the system, identify the performance bottleneck, or reason about the stragglers
- Hard to achieve fair sharing among users

Despite all these challenges, we still want to achieve...

Objectives

- Network with high bisection bandwidth
- Able to run everything at scale
- Fault tolerance
- Predictable services
- High utilization

With the minimum human intervention!

Now what is the Cloud user's problem?

Basic idea: Divide and Conquer

The degree of parallelism depends on the problem scale

Implementation challenges

- How to schedule tasks onto the worker nodes?
- How to communicate with workers?
- How to collect/aggregate results?
- What if workers want to share intermediate results?
- What if workers become stragglers or die?
- How to monitor and reason about the problem?

A system that handles all the challenges of parallelism, allowing users to focus on the highlevel logic, not low-level implementation details

Typical operations

- Iterate over a large number of records across servers
- Extract some intermediate results from each
- Shuffle and sort intermediate results
- Collect and aggregate
- Generate final output

Abstract, abstract, abstract!

- Iterate over a large number of records across servers
- Extract some intermediate results from each record
- Shuffle and sort intermediate results
- Collect and aggregate
- Generate final output

Reduce

MapReduce: programming on a 1000node cluster is no more difficult than programming on a laptop

VS.

"Simple things should be simple, complex things should be possible."

— Alan Kay

Papers to be presented

Friday, Sep. 11

- MapReduce: Saethish
- Spark: Shengkai

Monday, Sep. 14

- SparkStreaming: Yaofeng
- Tez: Daizuo